November Construction, such Construction & Implication ought to be founded Liber No. 78 on a clear and obvious Necessity—What necessity can possibly exist p. 466 with Regard to his exercising this Power? Is not the judicial and Magisterial Authority of the State, as competent to arrest a Fugitive Criminal as any other Criminal? Is not the Power to arrest, a Branch of judicial Authority and does not our Bill of Rights say the Executive and Judicial ought to be separate and distinct? and where can it be so effectually evercised as by the Magisterial or judicial Authority? The Magisterial Power is dispersed over the whole State: if a Fugitive Criminal is detected in any of the Counties a Warrant may be immediately had of a Justice of the Peace and the Criminal arrested and secured. But if the Power to Arrest be in the Governor only the Criminal may escape before an Application could reach him or his Warrant be obtained.

> With Regard to the Objection, that if such Criminal be arrested by the judicial Power it must conform in the Exercise of it to the Constitution and Form of Government of this State and there must be an Oath in all cases and the Party entitled to Bail I apprehend the Objection is made upon very mistaken principles. The Confederation is the supreme overuling Compact, Constitution, and Government of every State: the Power to arrest a Fugitive Criminal is derieved from the Confederation and the Exercise of it must be guided by that alone: the Forms of Process and Arrest prescribed by the Constitution and Form of Government with Regard to it's own Citizens for Offences within its Limits cannot apply to Arrests under the Confederation. A Fugitive Criminal arrested under the Confederation in my Opinion is not entitled to Bail; he must be committed and held in Custody until the State in which the Offence is committed is Officially informed of the arrest and Commitment and have a reasonable time to Demand him.

p. 467

I certainly did think you declined proceeding against Mr Carbury in Expectation that the State of Pennsylvania would have demanded him: it was my Opinion that the Moment Mr Carbury was demanded the Confederation superseded the judicial Authority and Jurisdiction of this State over such Offences as he was charged to have committed in Pennsylvania. It is true, Mr Carbury's Offence, tho' committed in Pennsylvania was cognizable and triable by our Laws but it is as true the Confederation expressly stipulates that on Demand a Fugitive Criminal shall be delivered up: The Confederation is the overuling Power: it cannot be controled by the Laws or Acts of Assembly of any Individual State: had a Demand been made I should have considered myself as obliged to have interposed the Authority of Government and prevented you from proceeding against him on the Treason Act of this State. If this State can pass an Act making Offences done in another by it's Citizens cognizable and triable here, and on that Ground retain the Criminal